

Portfolio Holder Decision – Warwick CPE Variation 5

Portfolio Holder	Portfolio Holder for Transport and Planning
Date of decision	17 April 2020
	<p>Signed</p> 

Decision taken
<p>That the Portfolio Holder for Transport and Planning approves that the below named proposed Traffic Regulation Order be made as advertised (or with such other title as amended to reflect the Order title change from a Permitted Parking Area and Special Parking Area to the correct term of Civil Enforcement Area).</p> <p>Warwickshire County Council (District of Warwick) (Permitted Parking Area and Special Parking Area) (Waiting Restrictions, On-Street Parking Places and Residents' Parking) (Consolidation) Variation 5 Order 2019</p>

Reasons for decisions						
<p>Radford Road, Leamington – Footway parking and No waiting at any Time</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A copy of plan numbers TR/11084-01, TR/11084-02 and TR/1184-04 detailing proposals for waiting restrictions can be found as Appendix B. Objections and comments were received to these proposals; the following tables detail the objections and comments received together with the officers' responses. <table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="background-color: #cccccc;">Emails/letters</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Total objections</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total comments</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Emails/letters		Total objections	2	Total comments	2
Emails/letters						
Total objections	2					
Total comments	2					

Ref	Objections and comments received	Total number of responses containing the comment
A	It is taking away pedestrian facilities to allow for parking	1
B	Cars already park on the pavement at various places along the south side of Radford Road	1
C	Cars Often drive along the pavement to reach parking spaces	1
D	Parking on the pavement to the east of the pedestrian crossing by Sainsbury's is particularly dangerous as it blocks the sight line for pedestrians	1
E	It would encourage pavement parking for customers of Sainsbury's	1
F	Can't see how these proposals which encourage car use are in any way compatible (with WCC's carbon neutral stance)	1
G	Can't see how allowing cars to park on the pavement are compatible with the proposed shared path and cycleway	1

Ref	Officer Comments
A	Parking is only being introduced in the locations where the pavement is of a sufficient width to allow drivers to manoeuvre their vehicles without compromising the width of the pavement available for pedestrians.
B/C/G	The parking bays were proposed to be located on the carriageway side of the footpath to minimise the risk of cars being driven along the footpath in order to find a space. The remaining footpath is of a sufficient width to allow pedestrians and cyclists to navigate the footway without the risk of collisions with vehicles attempting to manoeuvre into the spaces.
D	No parking is being proposed near to the pedestrian dropped kerb crossing points, this was to ensure that the sight lines of pedestrians wanting to cross the Radford Road are not obstructed
E	The proposals are for resident permit holders (L7) only, this will only permit vehicles that hold the specified permit from parking in the bays.
F	The main aim of these bays is not to promote car use but to provide parking that is beneficial for residents along Radford Road. This would reduce competition for available spaces thereby reducing the likelihood of vehicles being driven round trying to find a space.

Background information

- Radford Road is a primarily residential road in Leamington Spa that serves as one on the main routes into and out of the town centre from the south and as such is covered by no waiting at any time restrictions.
- We have received requests over the past few years to introduce a residents parking scheme along a section of Radford Road and after extensive informal consultations with the residents we created the proposals as given by plan numbers TR/11084-01, TR/11084-02 and TR/1184-04
- Proposals were advertised and consulted upon in accordance with statutory procedure on the 28th November 2019, with consultation open until the 21st December 2019.
- The statutory criteria for decisions on making Traffic Regulation Orders are included as **Appendix A**.
- Drawings showing published proposals for waiting restrictions are found in **Appendix B**.
- Copies of objections and comments received can be found in **Appendix C**.

Financial implications

The work will be carried out within the existing 2020/21 CPE budget allocations.

Environmental implications

It is anticipated that the presence of waiting restrictions would not have a significant adverse effect on air quality, with no predicted increase in traffic volumes or noise levels as a result of the scheme.

Report Author	Ben Davenport bendavenport@warwickshire.gov.uk,
Assistant Director	David Ayton-Hill
Lead Director	Mark Ryder
Lead Member	Cllr Jeff Clarke

Urgent matter?	No
Confidential or exempt?	No

Is the decision contrary to the budget and policy framework?

No

List of background papers

Letters and email objections.

Members and officers consulted and informed

Portfolio Holder – Councillor Jeff Clarke

Corporate Board – Mark Ryder

Legal – Ian Marriott

Finance – Virginia Rennie

Equality – Keira Rounsley

Democratic Services – Paul Williams

Councillors –

Local Member(s): Councillor Helen Adkins